

# Credit Value Adjustment

# CVA

Credit value adjustment is the market price of counterparty credit risk that has become a central part of counterparty credit risk management. By definition, Credit value adjustment is the difference between the risk-free portfolio value and the true/risky portfolio value.

Credit value adjustment not only allows institutions to quantify counterparty risk as a single measurable P&L number, but also offers an opportunity for banks to dynamically manage, price, and hedge counterparty risk. The benefits of CVA are widely acknowledged.

# CVA Introduction

## CVA History

- ◆ Current market practice
  - ◆ Discounting using the LIBOR or risk-free curves
  - ◆ Using risk-free value for pricing, hedging, P&L
- ◆ Real counterparty reality
  - ◆ Having different credit qualities from LIBOR
  - ◆ Having risk of default
- ◆ ISA 39 (International Accounting Standard)
  - ◆ Requiring CVA in 2000 (mandatory)
  - ◆ Finance and Accounting owning CVA
  - ◆ Receiving a little attention in the beginning
  - ◆ Becoming significant risk after financial crises

# CVA Introduction

## CVA Definition

- ◆ **Definition**

CVA = Risk free value – True (risky) value

- ◆ **Benefits**

- ◆ Quantifying counterparty risk as a single P&L number
  - ◆ Dynamically managing, pricing, and hedging counterparty risk

- ◆ **Notes**

- ◆ CVA is a topic of valuation and requires accurate pricing and risk-neutral measure
  - ◆ Risk-free valuation is what we use every day. Risky valuation is less explored and less transparent

# CVA Introduction

## Risk-Free Valuation

- ◆ The risk-free valuation is what brokers quote or what trading systems or models normally report.
- ◆ A simple example to illustrate
  - A zero coupon bond paying  $X$  at  $T$
- ◆ The risk-free value

$$V^F(0) = X \exp(-rT) = D(T)X$$

where  $r$  is risk-free interest rate and

$D(T) = \exp(-rT)$  is risk-free discount factor

## Risky Valuation

- ◆ Default Modeling
  - ◆ Structural models
    - Studying default based on capital structure of a firm
  - ◆ Reduced form models
    - Characterizing default as a jump (Poisson) process
  - ◆ Market practitioners prefer the reduced form models due to
    - Mathematical tractability
    - Consistency with market observations as risk-neutral default probabilities can be backed out from bond prices and CDS spreads



### Reference

<https://finpricing.com/lib/EqRainbow.html>